This is true in a capitalist oriented society, like Kenya, as it is in a society dominated by socialist values, like Tanzania. Even in countries under dictatorships, such as Zaire and Malawi, there are classes of people which benefit materially from the regime for whose interests the regime attempts to consolidate and perpetuate itself in power. It should be noted further that in economies dominated by metropolitan capital, among the classes and interests served by the regime include the international capitalist classes and the whole of interest of metropolitan powers. It is in this sense that we speak of regime as constituted by national elites who are members of a comprador class serving the interests of foreign capital in the domestic economy. And it is this class which operates as a purveyor of imperialist exploitation and unwittingly acts as an important agent of the underdevelopment of its own country whose interests it purports to defend.

n 1965 when the United Republic of Tanzania decided to recognize the Peoples Democratic Republic of East Germany, the government of the Federal Republic of West Germany eacted angrily by withholding its aid offer to Tanzania which had been earmarked for ertain projects in the country's Second Five Year Development Plan fo 1964—69. The withdrawal of this aid meant that the National Plan could not be implemented fully. See, ulius K. Nyerere, "The Costs of Non-Alignment" Africa Report October, 1966. From this xperience, Tanzania was forced to shift her foreign policy of non-alignment a little in avour of the socialist countries, particularly China. And it is from countries such as China hat Tanzania gets the builk of its foreign aid such as was used in building the Tanzam Railway.

These are discussed in greater detail by Professor Claude Ake. See his "Explanatory Notes on the Political Economy of Africa." Journal of Modern African Studies, 14 (1976) pp.1-23.

When President A. Milton Obote of Uganda was faced with potential situation of instability in 1966 arising out of protracted struggle-for power among elites within his own government, he had to look back to the colonial history to find ways and means of containing the stuation. Accordingly, his Attorney-General advised him to use the provisions of a deportation Law enacted by the colonial government some two decades before the independence of the country. However, legal technicalities in the application of the law to be situation at the hands of Obote, made it possible for the courts to overrule the overnment and to free the deportees. The government had to use different manoeuvres to eep the trouble-makers in custody. In order to avoid a similar situation in future whereby ourts could rule the actions of government as unlawful, parliament hurriedly passed a detention Law which limited the power of the courts in similar cases.

Continuity and Change in Nigeria's Political Evolution: The 1979 Elections

S.W. Tyoden*

Introduction

It is generally agreed among analysts and commentators on Nigeria's pre-1966 politics that, foremost among the factors that led to the fall of the first Republic was the structure and mode of operation of the Nigerian political parties. The three major parties — the Action Group (AG), the National Convention of Nigerian Citizens (N.C.N.C.) and the Northern People Congres (N.P.C.) were not only based in the three regions — West East and North respectively (before the creation of the Mid-West region), but depended on the three major ethnic groups - the Yoruba, Ibo and the Hausa/Fulani for their core of support. The only way trans-regional support was secured was through a network of alliances with opposition parties in other regions.

The mode of operation of the parties was characterised by the harassment and intimidation of political opponents; campaigns were carried out in a way and with a language that served to bring to the fore and emphasise primordial differences, while elections were marked by rigging, persecution and attempted suppression of rival politial party functionaries within one's region. It is no wonder then that election time was synonymous with one of the social disorder and anarchy, when riots and violence became the order of the day. Given this state of affairs, the break-down of the system was only a matter of time.

With this background knowledge and the claim to be a "corrective regime", the military, following its usurpation of power, embarked on a process of conscious structural remodelling, institutional reconstruction and social engineering of the Nigerian political system, in a bid to evolve, if not a new political party system, at least a better one than had existed hitherto — A system that would be devoid of the fissiparous elements of its predecessor, conducive to national stability and integration, and one that would reduce to the minimum electoral malpractices.

The division of the country into twelve and later nineteen states was seen as having struck a blow at the base of regionalism, ethnicity and thus political instability for instead of the four regions which served as focal points of ethnic and political loyalty and thus generators of interethnic and inter-regional contlicts, there were now nineteen states, the creation of which was not based on ethnic, sectional or other such considerations. According to Gown the new state structure "had in fact produced a basis for political instability in that the structural imbalance of the First Republic has been decisively corrected."

Since the ethnic groups were distributed into various states and because, as a result, a party could not hope to win a nation-wide election based on the support of one state alone, the feeling was that sectionalism or even ethnicity would not be dominant features of post-military Nigerian politics. If the possibility of states, consisting of the same ethnic groups or belonging to the same geographical area, "ganging up" to form a political party had occured to Gowon, the occasion for putting forward a formula to solve such a dilemma never occured. The solution would have

^{*}Senior Lecturer, Department of Politics, University of Lancaster.

ited for Gowon's views on the future constitution and of that of the Constituent sembly he would have established during preparations for handling over to civilian e Besides counter facts, we know that Gowon left the scene without attempting to ve this dilemma or even evincing an awareness of the probability of its occurrence

Since the occasion for handing over to civilian rule occured in Murtala's regime, ore thought and concern was given to political institutions and processes that would her in the desired kind of system. It is in fact with the Murtala/Obasanjo regime that have a clear case made for Panter-Brick's analogy between military and colonial le with regards to their manner of departure from the political scene. He says; "A ilitary regime that has assumed responsibility for correcting the deficiencies of its edecessor may act like a colonial government, exercising the power of tutelage, and serving for itself the right to insist upon certain constitutional provisions. It will most certainly give itself a period of grace, ruling by decree until certain conditions e fulfilled, and it may pre empt future decisions by its own unilateral action." The ilitary regime in Nigeria in fact treated the path towards civilian rule insisting on ome specific constitutional provisions in the constitution that was drawn up reparatory to handing over to civilian rule. These provisions influenced greatly the inds of political parties and political personalities that emerged, and was crucial in etermining the tempo and pace of political processess as a whole.

DIRECTIVES, CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND DECREES

After listing some of the ills that bedevilled Nigeria's first Republic, Murtala told e Constituent Assembly members that had been elected and nomited to draw up a ew Constitution for Nigeria that "Considering our past political experience" the onstitution they were to devise should seek to: eliminate cut-throat political ompetition, discourage institutionalised opposition, establish principles of public ecountability, eliminate over -centralisation of power in a few hands, evolve a free and fair electoral sysytem which would ensure adequate representation of all Nigerians at the centre, establish genuine and national political parties, set up an executive Presidential System of Government and emphasise the Federal character of the country in the choice of the future cabinet. These directives served as the parameters within which the Constituent Assembly worked.

In keeping with these directives, they evolved a constitution which stated, inter alia, that a political party to be recognised as such should "ensure that the members of the executive committee or other governing body of the political party reflect the federal character of Nigeria." This federal character was defined as "two-thirds of the States comprising the Federation."

The financial position and dealings of the parties were to be subject to the scrutiny of the Federal Electoral Commission (FEDECO) as the occasion arose while "any funds or assets remitted or sent to a political party from outside Nigeria shall be handed to FEDECO within 21 days." Lastly, all political party headquarters were to be in the national capital.

To qualify for the office of the President, a candidate needed not only a majority of the votes cast at the Presidential election but was also required to have not less than "one quarter of the votes cast at the election in each of at least two-thirds of all states in

the Federation." In the event of an inconclusive first election, a run-off election with the above criteria determining the winner would be carried out. If this failed to produce a winner, "there shall be a third popular election with the winner decided by simple majority of votes won" 9 The second and third election would be contested by the candidate who secured the highest number of votes at the first ballot and "on among the remaining candidates who has a majority of votes in the highest number of states" 10 All these provisions were incorporated into the electoral decree of 1977. It evident that these provisions were not only aimed at producing a nationally integrative electoral system, but also at producing a President elected on popular (all-Nigerian support rather than one elected by a section of the country.

The decree, not only emphasised the above ideals, but to make for public probility, empowered FEDECO to disqualify from contesting any of the election people who had been found quilty by one of the series of tribunals set up by the military since 1966 as well as people whose tax papers for the last three years were not in order. To make the political parties financially independent, there was a provision of a financial grant from the Government. 50% of this grant was to be shared equal among all the parties with candidates for election in not less than 20 per cent of the constituencies for the particular election at the start of the campaign, and the remaining 50 per cent was to be shared after the elections in proportion to the number of seats won by each party in the Senate and House of Representatives. Lastly, the FEDECO reserved the right to disqualify any political party from participating in the elections by refusing to register it.

THE CAMPAIGNS

With the stage thus set, the ban on political parties was lifted by mid-September 1978 and FEDECO set 18 December of the same year as dead-line for the submission of papers by all parties that wanted to contest the elections. It has been estimated the not less than 53 parties were formed when the ban was lifted. 12 Of these, 19 submitted their papers and 5 were declared qualified to contest. The fortunate five were: Great Nigeria Peoples Party (GNPP), the National Party of Nigeria (NPN), Nigeria Peoples Party (NPP), The Peoples Redemption Party (PRD) and the Ur Party of Nigeria (UPN). Their Presidential Candidates were: respectively, All Ibrahim Waziri, Alhaji Shehu Shangari, Dr Nnamdi Azikiwe, Alhaji Aminu Kand Chief Obafemi Awolowo.

There was a general sense of disappointment among articulate sections of society at the tenor of the campaigns. Although the Manifestos of the various par dealt with their positions on specific social and economic issues, the campaigns we completely devoid of any fundamental issues of national import. The preoccupat was with disparaging the leadership qualities of one's opponents, narrating their prole and contribution to the political evolution of the country. — emphasising negative aspects in the process - attacking and counter-attacking each oth pronouncements and such other mundane concerns. The Nigerian papers were agring their condemnation of this campaign trend. One carried an editorial on "Polit Mediocrity". another lamented the "Poverty of ideas". A columnist in another paper introduced a new perspective on psephology when he attributed what he term the "lack of originality" in the campaigns to the "law of attack" under which politician is saved the trauma of thinking and planning and yet managing to comme

VOTES SCORED IN THE SENATORIAL ELECTIONS

STATES			PARTY		
STEEL SECTION SHOWS CONT. IN	GNPP	UPN	NPN	PRP	NPP
ANAMBRA	12832	10932	210101	19574	699157
BAUCHI	188819	28959	323392	127279	39868
BENDEL	38332	-316511	650194	2055	80639
BENUE	46452	14769	332967		75523
BORNO	278352	22145	184633	31508	73323
CROSS RIVER	161353	77479	310071	21300	68203
GONGOLA	223121	124707	203226	30708	17836
IMO	101184	7553	145507	8609	750518
KADUNA	33824	85094	410888	278305	61807
KANO	35430	13831	233985	683367	01607
KWARA	32383	126065	54282	328	1020
LAGOS	14480	428573	35730	2556	
NIGER	7.1498	13860	175597	8139	52738
OGUN	1018	230411	31953	0139	207
ONDO	4905	501522	49612		119
OYO	9472	758696	200372	2497	6417
PLATEAU	41287	20024	154792	19017	4397
RIVERS	46985	20106	153454		220278
SOKOTO	910310	34145	571562	30	86138
Source. Daily Times, New	Nigeria, Nigerian S	Standard A	1015t 1070	38305	

TABLE 2:

SEATS WON PER STATE - SENATORIAL ELECTIONS

STATE	NUMBER		PARTY				
	OF SEATS	GNPP	UPN	NPN	PRP	NPP	
ANAMBRA	5		to telescope			ON ES LA	
BENDEL	5		is of this said	A COLT COLLE	and the lead has	5	
BAUCHI	5		de boros	1	-	_	
BENUE	5			3		_	
BORNO	5	4				5	
CROSS RIVER	5	2		1	90 - 1		
GONGOLA	5	2	2	1 1 1 1	A SERVICE AND A		
IMO	5	MIAT HALL	100	E STAN			
KADUNA	5			Salt a colle	income total	5	
KANO	5			3	2		
KWARA	5		2	3	5		
LAGOS	5		5	3	E VEW DESI		
NIGER	5		A STATE OF THE STA	-	TOTAL THE STATE OF		
OGUN	5		5	3	-		
ONDO	5		5				
OYO	5		5	_	-		
PLATEAU	5		3	NI - NEWLE	KIND MA	THE PARTY OF	
RIVERS	5			1	Section 5	4	
OKOTO	5			2		2	
TOTAL	95	8	28	3	-	+	
ource: Daily Time	s, New Nigeria	Vigerian Stan	dard Augus	35	1	16	

TABLE 3:

STATE HOUSES OF ASSEMBLY RESULTS NUMBER

STATE	NUMBER	PARTY				
	OF SEATS	CNTD	UPN	NPN	PRP	
ANAMBRA	1%	1		13	- IN	
BAUCHI	60	9		45		
BENDEL	60		34	22	2	
BENUE	57	6	_	48		
BORNO	72	57			以 海中的	
CROSS RIVER	84	16	7	11	2	
GONGOLA	63	25	The second second second	58	THE PERSON NAMED IN	
IMO	90	23	18	15	THE PARTY	
KADUNA	99		_	9	OUTCE	
KANO	138	10	3	64	16	
KWARA		3 2	1	H	122	
	42	2	15	25	-	
LAGOS	36		36	_		
NIGER	30	2		28		
OGUN	36		36	THE PARTY	A DOMESTICAL PROPERTY.	
ONDO	66		65	7000	NO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
OYO	126	-	117	9	Marie Walle	
PLATEAU	48	3	1	10	Charles of	
RIVERS	42	4-1-1-00	What the policy	26	CANTERNA DA	
SOKOTO	111	19	Dan Shakara	92	Market Committee	
TOTAL	1.347	155	333		0,00	
Source: Daily Tim	es, New Nigeria	, Nigerian St	andard. Aug	487	143	

35 15

TABLE 4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES RESULTS.

				A NAM		
STATE NU	MACE OF	GNPP	-	PARTY	-	ALDE ALDE
SINILMU	SEATS	ONFF	UPN	NPN	PRP,	NPP
ANAMBRA	29			3		26
BAUCHI	20	1		18		i
BENDEL	20		12	6		2
BENUE	19		THE REAL PROPERTY.	18		1
BORNO	24	22		2		The second
CROSS						
RIVER	28	4	2	22		
GONGOLA	21	8	7	5		1
IMO	30			2		28
KADUNA	33	1	1	19	10	2
KANO	46	-1		7	39	
KWARA	14	h	5	8		
LAGOS	12		12			
NIGER	10			10		
OGUN	12		12			
ONDO	22		22	to at		
OYO	42		38	4		
PLATEAU	16			3		13
RIVERS SOKOTO	14	The second of		10		4
TOTAL	37	6	400000	31	Theres.	White some
TOTAL	449	43	111	168	49	78

Source: Daily Times, New Nigeria, Nigerian Standard, August, 1979

TABLE 5
COMPUTATION OF ELECTORIAL RESULTS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL
ELECTION

STATE VOTES RECEIVED %	PARTY	GNPP		UPN		
ANAMBRA BAUCHI BAUCHI BENDEL BENDEL BENUE BENDE BENUE	STATE	VOTES		VOTES		
ANAMBRA 20,228 1.67 9,063 0.75 BAUCHI 154,218 15.44 29,960 3.00 BENDEL 8,242 1.23 356,381 53.23 BENUE 42,993 7.89 13,864 2.57 BORNO 384,278 54.04 23,885 3.35 CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39,48 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39,48 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,680,489 VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47 314 4.72 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7.277 1.35 63,097 11.71 245,781 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7.277 1.35 63,097 11.71 245,781 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7.277 1.35 63,097 11.71 242,315 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 2596,362 43,12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 10,1316 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 10,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 55,88 56,88 56,88 57,73 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 56,88 56,88 57,50		RECEIVED	96	Charles and the Control of the Contr		
BAUCHI 154,218 15.44 29,960 3.00 BENDEL 8,242 1.23 356,381 53.23 BENUE 42.993 7.89 13.864 2.57 BORNO 384,278 54.04 23,885 3.35 CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 11.76 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 7,335 0.64 11.00 34.616 3.00 92,382 6.68 11.00 34.616 3.00 12.00 3.00 18.482 1.54 14.973 1.23 1.23 11.	ANAMBRA	20,228		AND ASSESSED TO SELECT A SECURITY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
BENDEL 8,242 1.23 356,381 53.23 BENUE 42.993 7.89 13,864 2.57 BORNO 384,278 54.04 23,885 3.35 CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 A242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 51,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 A26,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 A246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 C96,362 43,12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 A243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 C101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 A27,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 A56,889 10,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 A99,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92	BAUCHI	154,218				
BENUE 42.993 7.89 13,864 2.57 BORNO 384,278 54.04 23,885 3.35 CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 2243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 2443,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 2443,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 177,999 12,75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49,17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92	BENDEL					
BORNO 384,278 54,04 23,885 3.35 CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39,48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85,78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 62.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47 314 4.72 2442,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 190,145 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 177,999 12,75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 847	BENUE					
CROSS RIVER 100,105 15.14 77,775 11.76 GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 3,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623.989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 A11,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 247,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 56,88 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 190,458 857 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92	BORNO					
RIVER GONGOLA 217,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED 14,344 47 314 4.72 424,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 596,362 43,12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,380 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 226,707 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,092,599 1.275 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 1,093,488 14,555 1.20 1.20 1,092,48 12,593 1.23 1.250 1.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.11 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.55 1,094,488 14,555 3.99 4,292 1.55 1,094,489,486 17.65 3.21 1,094,4977 3.33 12,503 0.99		40	54.04	23,883	3.35	
GONGOLA 17,914 34.09 138,561 21.67 IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39,48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPN RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED 4,916,651 0.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47,314 4.72 425,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 4246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,825 43,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 2267,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 1,002,458 11,752 0.86 177,999 12,75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 457 190,458 457 190,458 457 190,458 457 190,458 457 190,458 457 190,458 11,752 0.86 177,999 12,75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 457 190,45		100.105	15 14	77 776		
IMO 34,616 3.00 7,335 0.64 KADUNA 190,936 13.80 92,382 6.68 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,680,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 6.2.8 143,202 14,34 47,314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 177,999 12,75 4,804 0.32 7,333 12,343 0.32 199,486 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14,35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92	GONGOLA			AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF		
KADUNA 190,936 13.80 7,333 0.64 KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294.666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197.983 85.78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 407 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 2243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,333 12,343 0.32 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,332 12,503 0.92						
KANO 18,482 1.54 14,973 1.23 KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,680,489 4,916,651 VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47 314 4.72 2442,730 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60						
KWARA 20,251 5.71 140,026 39.48 LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294.666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP 163,164 3,50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623.989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 596,362 43,12 437,771 31,66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,994 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92						
LAGOS 3,943 0.48 681,762 82.30 NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35,52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43,12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 998,804 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92						
NIGER 63,273 16.50 14,155 3.69 OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294.666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 37,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1.680,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 595,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 595,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 5688 887						
OGUN 3,974 0.53 689,655 92.61 ONDO 3,561 0.26 1,294,666 94.51 OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43,12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 243,7072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4.292 1.11 90,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 114.35 56,88,857		C. 1. (1) A C. (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				
ONDO OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85,78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 VOTES RECEIVED RECEIVED 163,164 3.50 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 29,029 5.29 RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14,34 47,314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 62,7057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 247,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 988,857						
OYO 8,029 0.57 1,197,983 85.78 PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.600 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 5688 857			MARKATAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A			
PLATEAU 3/,400 6.82 29,029 5.29 RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,688,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19,94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.85 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,6666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 11.35 588,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92						
RIVERS 15,025 2.18 71,114 10.33 SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,680,489		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T				
SOKOTO 359,021 26.61 34,102 2.52 TOTAL 1,686,489 4,916,651 VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
TOTAL VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED						
VOTES NPN VOTES PRP VOTES NPP RECEIVED % RECEIVED % RECEIVED % 163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11		THE COMP LINE IN SECURITION OF	20.01		2.52	
RECEIVED		A COLOR DE LA COLO		4,916,651		
163,164 3.50 14,500 1.20 1,002,083 52.58 623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47,314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 99,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 246,358 6.23 2,378 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 198,8094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92				PRP		NPP
623,989 62.8 143,202 14.34 47 314 4.72 242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.85 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92		LATERAL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		%	RECEIVED	Thirty man and a second second
242,320 36.19 4,939 0.73 57,629 8.60 411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14,35<				1.20	1,002,083	52.58
411,648 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.85 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 498,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92 56,88 857				14.34	47 314	4.72
411,048 76.39 7,277 1.35 63,097 11.71 246,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17<				0.73	57,629	8.60
240,778 34.71 46,385 6.52 9,642 1.35 425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35<			7,277	1.35	63,097	11.71
425,815 64.40 6,737 1.01 50,671 7.66 227,057 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 5688 8857	240,778	(DECEMBER OF LOSS OF SHEET SHEET)	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	6.52	9,642	
227,037 35.52 27,750 4.34 27,856 4.35 101,516 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34,73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14,35 5688,857 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92		THE YORK OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		1.01	50,671	Mikali de la companya
501,316 8.80 10,252 0.89 999,636 86.67 596,362 43.12 437,771 31.66 65,321 4.72 243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 5688,857 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92		LONG STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	27,750	4.34	27,856	
243,423 19.94 932,803 76.41 11,082 0.91 190,142 53,62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	0.89	999,636	
190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			437,771	31.66		POR RECOGNISION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY
190,142 53.62 2,376 0.67 1,830 0.52 59,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92				76.41		
39,515 7.18 3.874 0.47 79,320 9.57 287,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0 92			2,376	0.67		
267,072 74.88 14,555 3.79 4,292 1.11 46,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			3.874	0.47		. N. M. B.
40,358 6.23 2,338 0.31 2,343 0.32 57,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34,73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			14,555	3.79		
37,361 4.19 2,509 0.18 11,752 0.86 177,999 12.75 4,804 0.32 7,732 0.55 190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			2,338	0.31	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	
190,458 34.73 21,852 3.98 269,666 49.17 499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0 92			2,509	0.18	11.752	
499,846 72.65 3,212 0.46 98,754 14.35 898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0 92			4,804	0.32		corner of the No. of Section 1981.
898,094 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0.92			21,852	3.98		
5 688 857 66.58 44,977 3.33 12,503 0 92				0.46		
		66.58		3.33		
	3,000,037		1,732,113		2,822,523	

ource: Daily Times, New Nigeria, Nigerian Standard, August, 1979.

followership by simply attacking whatever his opponents say or do" 16 The cyniciss was carried further by another columnist who attributed the lucklustre nature of the campaigns to the fact that the "soldiers have banned insults". 17

One does not need to go far to find the reason for this state of affairs Given the apparent similarity in party programmes and ideology of the leaders, it is no wonder that the campaigns were not only dull but dominated by debates over trivialities. If we apply the conventional Classification categories of left, right and centre to the partie we shall find that all of them can be said to be centrist, with the PRP and UP (particularly the former) being left of centre. It is also worth noting the fact the although not all the Presidential Candidates participated in drafting the Constitution a constitution that re-affirms Nigeria's commitment to the capitality way of life - all of then gave it unqualified support.

An alternative explanation may be the kind of electorate they were dealing with The electorate is not only largely illiterate, but among the literati, a large number of them share the same philosophical and ideological outlook with the candidates Governmental directives and constitutional provisions barring certain groups from "active" participation in the elections, might also have contributed greatly to this situation. We shall deal with this latter factor later on.

On the positive side however, there was a clear attempt by the political actors t desist from the use of any language that might be insinuated as being aimed at rallyin ethnic support, and except in some few cases, there was no violence or intimidatio and each candidate campaigned unimpeded all over the country Corroborating this last observation, Chief Awolowo, after recalling an occasion in the 1959 election when a Native Authority policeman came and dismantled everything and cancelled his permit as he was about to mount the rostrum for a campaign in Sokoto, said; toda "we have been able to campaign in all nooks and corners of the Northern State unmolested".19

VOTING TREND

The worst disappointment in the whole election exercise, however, was the evident continuity in the voting behaviour of the Nigerian electorate. As evidenced i the distribution of votes and seats won by each of the five parties, (See Tables 1-5) eac party performed best in the home-state of the Presidential Candidate and/or in th adjoining states, most of which are made up of the ethnic group from which th candidate hails. Thus the GNPP in the Senatorial Elections won its highest number of seats (5 seats) in the Bornu State.²⁰ It won 22 out of its 24 seats in the House of Representatives, 57 of its 72 State House of Assembly Seats and 54.07% of all the vote cast in the Presidential Election in the State. The UPN had the highest number of vote in the Senatorial elections in Oyo State, won all the five seats in Oyo State, won all th five seats in Onyo, Ondo, Ogun and Lagos States, all the House of Representativ seats in Ondo, Ogun and Lagos, and 38 of the 42 in Oyo. In the State House Assembl elections UPN won all the seats in Ogun and Lagos, 65 of the 66 allocated to Ondo and 117 of the 120 seats in Oyo State, while winning 92.61 percent, 82.3 percent, 94. percent and 85.78 percent respectively of the votes cast in the Presidential election Except the Bendel State, where it had 53.2 percent of the votes, the others ar predominantly Yoruba States. The NPN on its part, had its highest votes in Senatoria elections in Sokoto while winning all the five seats in Sokoto, Bauchi, Niger and Benue. It won all the House of Representatives seats in Niger, taking 18 of the 20 in Bauchi, 18 of the 19 in Benue and 31 of the 37 in Sokoto. It also performed well in the Rivers State, where it took 10 of the 14 seats and 22 of the 28 in the Cross Rivers, while winning over half the seats for Kaduna and Kwara States. In the State House of Assembly elections, it won 45 of the 60 seats for Bauchi State, 28 of the 30 in Niger, 92 of the 111 in Sokoto, 48 of the 57 in Benue, 58 of the 84 in the Cross River, 25 of the 42 in Kwara and 64 of the 99 in Kaduna State and took 62.48 percent, of the votes cast in the Presidential elections, in Bauchi State, 66.58 percent in Sokoto State, 76.39 percent in the Benue State, 72.65 percent in the River State, 64.40 percent in the Cross Rivers and 53.62 percent in Kwara State.

The PRP got its highest votes in the Senatorial elections in Kano State, which was also the state where it won all five seats. For the House of Representatives it took 39 of the 46 seats and 122 of the 138 seats in the House of Assembly, while it got 76.41 percent of the votes cast in the Presidential election in the state. Similarly the NPP had ts highest votes in the Senatorial election in Imo State winning all the five seats for that state and the Anambra State. In the House of Representatives election it got 28 of the 30 seats in Imo, 26 of the 29 in the Anambra State and 13 of the 16 in Plateau State, while winning 79 of 90, 73 of 87 and 35 of 48 seats respectively in the States House of Assembly elections. In the Presidential elections it had 86.67 percent of the votes in mo and 82.88 percent of the seats in Anambra. Both states are Ibo dominated. The bove pattern was the same in the Gubernatorial elections except for the displacement of the NPN by the PRP in Kaduna State and of GNPP's assertion of its authority in the Gongola, where the UPN had been a close contender in the other elections.

t is thus evident from the above that ethnic factors predominated the voting pattern except for aberrant cases like that of the NPP in the Plateau,²² the NPN in the Rivers, Cross Rivers and Benue States and the UPN in Gongola. These aberrations, however, do not distort the pattern.

The special case of the PRP deserves further comment. While we may say that ectional factors might have been crucial in its victory in the Kano State, its admirable performance in the Kaduna State Cannot be thus explained nor car ethnic explanations. The core of its support, in that state, was in areas dominated by the same thnic group that makes up Kano State which served as the backbone of the NPN, i.e. he Hause-Fulani. Since the party programme did not appeal enough to the electorate in that state to give it a dominant position in the other elections and since its Presidential Candidate could not must more than 32 percent of the votes cast in the state in the Presidential election, we cannot but conclude that the personal appeal of the Subernatorial candidate was the crucial factor that made the PRP victory in the Subernatorial election in Kaduna State. Considerations relating to the personality of the Presidential candidate may also account for NPN suprememacy over the GNPP in the Presidential election in the Gongola State.

IDEOLOGICAL BIASES

I have dealt in passing with some aspects of the regulations governing the general onduct of the elections, however, a few more comments may be added here. Apart om the constitutional provisions which prohibited political activites by certain terest and pressure groups like the Trade Unions and Student Organisations²³

(which are also contained in the Electorial Decree), the Government, through agency of the National Universities Commission, barred University Lecturers f "active politics" In the case of the Trade Unions and Student Organisations, it said that they could exercise their voting rights by voting as individuals but no corporate groups affiliated to any political party. 25

Considering the fact that these groups consist of the bulk of the articulate sec of a largely illiterate society, the restraints placed on their active participation of not but have contributed to the dull monotony of the election period. Considering the fact that it is these same groups that are usually prone to adopting a critical proding posture in their political discourses, one cannot but conclude that their can be better explained in ideological terms — i.e. geared towards the exclusion fit the country's political processes of actors seen as dangerous to the continuance of preferred status quo — than in terms of any avowed commitment to peaceful elections.

Ideological underpinnings are evident in some of the other regulations. instance, it was one of the electorial rules that one should vote only where registered. The staging of the elections in the summer months when all schocolleges and universities have closed and students had dispersed to their respect homes, meant the effective disenfrachisement of the bulk of Nigerian youths since twere registered at their various institutions. The effect of this was also to defeat good that might have emerged from the lowering of the voting age to 18 years.

The short period between the lifting of the ban on political activities and that submission of registration forms seemed to have an in-built bias in favour of o politicians, most of whom had their old party structures intact or at least re-vitali It was, obviously, placing too much faith in the ability of the new-comers to exthem to have succeeded within two months or so in establishing nation-wide political parties under the FEDECO stated criteria. It is no wonder then that those parties were finally registered could be shown to have connections to pre-1966 political particular at least all of the Presidential candidates were prominent politicians before advent of the military.

FEDECO's inability to spell out spell out clearly its criteria for disqualificatio a political party, left a lot of room for speculation on its assumed non-partisans while its inability to pay the political parties the first allotment of their grant in t exposed it to the charge of deliberately sabotaging the chances of the poorer parti. One cannot find any reasonable justification for the delay in the disbursement his amount till two weeks to the elections. It cannot be denied that the performance poor parties like the PRP, and to a lesser extent the NPP, were negatively affected the process.

CONTINUITIES

It is generally believed that the five political parties are off-springs of past politic parties. While one may dismiss such a belief as being impressionistic, we cannot do the preponderance of particular old party coalitions within the new parties. Thus, can say that the NPN is dominated by former NPC members, the UPN of form Action Group members, the NPP of former NCNC members, the PRP of form NEPU members, albeit with a sprinkling of members from former allied parties, those that have fallen out with their erstwhile colleagues and some new faces Although the leader of the GNPP was a former NPC stalwart, the mode of operations.

nd philosophy of this party is reminiscent of that of the former Bornu Youth lovement.* It also has a sizeable number from the former major parties.

Barely a month after the elections, a Federal Permanent Secretary lamenting the vident revival of "the old habit of voting along (ethnic) lines" in Nigeria's elections inspite of efforts at social engineering", called for a rotation of the Presidency to nake it "clear that it is not the monopoly of any geographical area". This lamentation fact highlights one of the most pernicious elements of continuity in Nigeria's lectorial process as we have already seen. The dominance of sectionalism and ersonality politics have also been domenstrated. The bias in favour of entrenched ocial forces in electorial regulations which in turn encourages corrupt electoral ractices, the dominance of the political scene by parties of the centre and thus of ampaigns devoid of any attempt at a systematic and critical analysis of the country's tate of underdevelopment, and lastly land most importantly too, the continued ominance of the countries political processes by an elite, united by a tacit ideological ommitment to Nigeria's dependent capitalist mode of development, is also evident.

Another element of continuity, is the emergence once more of a victorious party at the polls but lacking enough seats in the Senate to run the Government alone. A Nigerian paper had after the 1964-65 elections (which produced a similar situation) characterised "Nigerian Democracy" as one "in which the majority party must under all circumstances rule hand-in-nand with (a) minority party, even though it might not wish to do so."28 So it is that the NPN with just over 33 percent of the votes cast in the Presidential election and about 37 percent of the seats in the National Assembly has had to go into an alliance or at least a working agreement with some other party. 11 was to have a working majority. It is of importance to note that the NPP, the first party to indicate its willingness to work with the NPN,29 is made up mainly, as we have indicated, of former NCNC members, and it was the NCNC that provided the second partner in the past two coalition Governments Nigeria has had.

DISCONTINUITIES

Obvious among elements of discontinuity are the lowering of the voting age to 18, the extension of the franchise to women in the northern parts of the country, the low salience of ethnic and sectional pronouncements during the campaigns, and the relative absence of violence and malpractises like rigging. These, plus other discontinuos features in the country's political processes to be dealt with shortly are, as indicated at the opening parts of this essay, the result of a conscious attempt at remodelling the structural frame30 of the country's political processes towards a system that is nationally integrative and imbued with a high content of public probity. Thus we had the use of tax papers to determine the extent to which prospective office holders performed their civic obligations and the attempt to limit the contest to those with a "clean record" as evidenced in the disqualification of some candidates on the grounds of having been found guilty of some wrong-doing or the other by some of the tribunals set up by the military since their seizure of power.31

A noteworthy result of the government's emphasis on a nationally integrative electoral system — which is also partly the result of political expediency motivated at vote—catching — was the geographical balancing act in the filing of party office: instance, no party chose its President and Deputy from the same georaphical While the NPN, GNPP and PRP whose Presidential Candidates are from Northern part of the country and the UPN whose Presidential Candidate is fro Western part of the country, chose their running mates from the East. The whose Presidential Candidate is from the Eastern part of the country, chose his the North 32

VOTER APATHY

A prominant feature of the elections which cannot be easily slotted in continuity - discontinuity dichotomy, is the apparent voter apathy reflected i elections. This comparison is precluded because of the partial boycott by some of major parties of the 1964 elections. Be that as it may, the general voter turn-out fo election left much to be desired. A turn-out of as low as 19.65 per cent was record the House of Representatives Elections while the highest turn-out of 35.1 per cen recorded in the Presidential Elections. On the whole, however, only about 25 per of the registered voters turned out for all the elections in 1979. This contrasts sha with the 79.8 per cent turn-out in the Federal elections of 1959 although a b performance over the 20 per cent in the 1964 elections³³ which, as had already indicated, can be attributed to the partial boycott of that year.

Surely, after over thirteen years of military rule, one would have expected overwhelming welcome for the civilian regime. But perhaps, the length of military might have taken the politics out of the people or, could it be the manifestation of reaction of a people to a political system that has served to alienate them from political processes over the years?

CONCLUSION

If we accept the fact that elections and their results are among the best indica of the tone and direction of a political sysytem and of the distribution of po within the system,34 the; bove demonstrates that little of substance has changed in Nigerian political system. The constitution, with its re-affirmation of Nige commitment to the capitalist path of development and the Electoral rules with t bias in favour of entrenched social forces were obviously not meant to effect fundamental change in the country's structural frame. In these circumstances failure of the elections to effect any change in the distribution of power in the socie not surprising. This indicates that the military's diagnosis of the causes of the ills of Nigerian Society are not only wrong but the prescriptions are themse inappropriate. It indicates, in short, that the problems require a more structural att than that provided by the legislative fiat.

FOOT NOTES

1. For detailed analysis of the structure and operation of these political parties see I

J. Dudley, Instability and Political Order — Politics and Crisis in Nigeria (Ibada

^{*} The Bornu Youth Movement was led by Alhaji Ibrahim Imam a Kanuri and was based also in the area now comprising Bornu

University Press, 1973). K.W.J. Post and Michael Vickers, Structure and Conflict in Nigeria 1960-1965 (Heinemann 1973). Richard L. Sklar, Nigerian Political Parties (Princeton University Press 1963) and Walter Schwartz, Nigeria (Pall Mall, London 1968 and J.P. Mackintosh Nigerian Government and Politics (George Allan and Unwin Ltd. 1966).

- Quoted in A.D. Yahaya, "The Creation of States" in Soldiers and Oil (ed). Keith Panter-Brick (Frank Cass 1978), p. 203
- 3. op. cit., p. 291
- Address to the Constitution Drafting Committee in Survey of Nigerian Affairs 1975 (ed) O. Oyediran (O.U.P. Nigeria 1978). p. 225-226.
- 5. The constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 203 (1) b.
- 6. op. cit. 203 (2) b
- 7. op. cit. 206
- 8. op. cit. 125
- Initially this was to be decided by a simple majority of the votes cast in an election
 by an electoral collage consisting the two Houses of the National Assembly and
 the State House of Assemblies, see op. cit., 126 (4). The change was effected by
 Decree 15 1975.
- O. The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 126 (3) b. Under this provision it would have been Alhaji Waziri Ibrahim and not Chief Obafemir Awolowo (as was generally believed) that would have contested the second election with the NPN Presidential Candidate because, compared to Chief Awolowo he had the majority of votes in the highest number of states 12 to 7 See New Nigerian, 17 September, 197 "Who qualifies for Electoral College Awolowo or Waziri?"
- 1i. Electoral Decree 85(2)
- 12. Dr. William D. Graf Elections 1979 (Daily Times Publication 1979), p. 58
- 13. Especially his/her role during the Civil War
- 4. Nigeria Standard, March 12 1979
- 15. Puch, Feb 13 1979. Also "Hobsons Choice" New Nigerian, June 12 1979.
- 6. Nigerian Standard, Feb 16 1979
- 7. Grape Vine (Daily Times) Election '79 p. 63. In fairness to the UPN leadership, it tried to make free education a crucial election issue but the other leaders warded off the challenge with the argument that free education is a non-issue since it has even been inscribed in our constitution. Thus in the last analysis the question was not whether they were committed to it or not but whether the necessary financial and material pre-requisites are available. Be that as it may one can say that these requirements though crucial will depend on the priorities of the party in power.
- 18. Two of them, Alhaji Shehu Shangari and Alhaji Aminu Kano, did.
- 19. Nigeria Standard, Jan 31 1979.
- 20. It secured its highest number of votes however in the Kaduna State. Bornu is predominantly Kanuri a group from which Alhaji Waziri Ibrahim hails.
- 21. The performance of the NPN in Cross Rivers and Rivers States can be explained by historical precedents for these areas have been traditionally dominated by parties allied to the NPC the bulk of whose members now make up the NPN. Kaduna and Kwara have been traditional NPC strongholds while the case of the

Benue State can be explained in terms of the changes in the interest of its eliconsecutive specially. The elites like Tarka who have been closely identified with the creat of the NPN. The apparent nation-wide support for the NPN should not however be exaggerated, for of all the Senatorial seats won over 51 per cent are from the Hausa-Fulami dominated states of Sokoto, Bauchi, Kano, Niger and Kadur About half of its seats in the House of Representatives and the State Assembliare also from the same states, while 53.4 per cent of the votes won by the Preside come from these states.

- 22. While the Plateau State has like the Cross Rivers, and Rivers States and al Benue had the tradition of voting outside their geographical location its prese attachment to the NPP is more complex. With most of its former allies in the A. and given the high religious sensibilities of its elites sensibilities that we clearly demonstrated in the Shavia controversy during sittings of the Constitue Assembly allignment with the NPP seemed a natural outcome.
- 23. The constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 201.
- 24. This phrase was never defined. See Eme Awa "A Special Class in Politic System", Daily Times, Jan 13 1979.
- 25. The National Union of Nigerian Students had anyhow been banned by the Government in 1978 albeit the individual Student Unions in the various campus still existed.
- 26. For such a charge see A. Oyebola, Nigeria Standard, June 20 1979.
- 27. One can talk of former NPC allies like the Nigeria National Democratic Part (NNDP) the Niger Delta Congress (NDC), the Republican Party and the Mid West Democratic Front; of AG allies like the UMBC. The NCNC and NEPU wer also allies.
- 28. Nigerian Citizen, Feb. 24 1965.
- 29. It was reported recently that the PRP has also indicated its willingness to go int the proposed alliance.
- 30. This concept is derived from Post and Vickers op. cit. p. 40, and refers to the constitutional and institutional matrix that defines the main features of governmental structures and within which government policies are enacted.
- 31. The disqualification exercise was at best half-heartedly executed.
- 32. Another evident continuity that manifests itself the balancing act is the continued dominance of the major ethinic groups and of men in the country's political process.
- 33. Richard Sklar op. cit. p. 32 and Swahrtz op. cit.112